4		
1	Brian C. Rocca, S.B. #221576	Ian Simmons, pro hac vice
2	brian.rocca@morganlewis.com	isimmons@omm.com
_	Sujal J. Shah, S.B. #215230	Benjamin G. Bradshaw, S.B. #189925
3	sujal.shah@morganlewis.com	bbradshaw@omm.com
	Michelle Park Chiu, S.B. #248421	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
4	michelle.chiu@morganlewis.com	1625 Eye Street, NW
_	Minna Lo Naranjo, S.B. #259005	Washington, DC 20006
5	minna.naranjo@morganlewis.com	Telephone: (202) 383-5300
_	Rishi P. Satia, S.B. #301958	
6	rishi.satia@morganlewis.com	Daniel M. Petrocelli, S.B. #97802
7	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	dpetrocelli@omm.com
<i>'</i>	One Market, Spear Street Tower	Stephen J. McIntyre, S.B. #274481
8	San Francisco, CA 94105	smcintyre@omm.com
	Telephone: (415) 442-1000	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
9	•	1999 Avenue of the Stars
	Richard S. Taffet, pro hac vice	Los Angeles, California 90067
10	richard.taffet@morganlewis.com	Telephone: (310) 553-6700
11	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	• , ,
11	101 Park Avenue	Glenn D. Pomerantz, Bar No. 112503
12	New York, NY 10178	glenn.pomerantz@mto.com
	Telephone: (212) 309-6000	Kuruvilla Olasa, Bar No. 281509
13		kuruvilla.olasa@mto.com
	Jonathan I. Kravis, pro hac vice	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
14	Jonathan.kravis@mto.com	350 South Grand Avenue, 50th Floor
15	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	Los Angeles, CA 90071-3426
13	601 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 500E	Telephone: (213) 683-9100
16	Washington, DC 20001	Telephone. (213) 003 7100
	Telephone: (202) 220-1130	Kyle W. Mach, Bar No. 282090
17	1010pilone. (202) 220 1130	kyle.mach@mto.com
	Attorneys for Defendants	Justin P. Raphael, Bar No. 292380
18	Thiorneys for Defendants	justin.raphael@mto.com
10		Emily C. Curran-Huberty, Bar No. 293065
19		emily.curran-huberty@mto.com
20		MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
		560 Mission Street, 27th Floor
21		San Francisco, CA 94105-2907
		Telephone: (415) 512-4000
22		Telephone. (413) 312-4000
23		
24		
2 <del>4</del>		
25		
26		
27		
28		

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

4

1

2

3

## IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION

6

7

8

9

10

5

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Match Group, LLC. et al. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:22-cv-02746-JD Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD

DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE SEALED RELATING TO DEFENDANTS' ANSWER, DEFENSES, AND COUNTERCLAIMS TO MATCH GROUP'S COMPLAINT

Judge James Donato

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(c-f), Defendants Google LLC, Google Ireland Limited, Google Commerce Ltd., Google Asia Pacific Pte. Ltd., and Google Payment Corp. (collectively, "Google") respectfully submit this Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should Be Sealed Relating to Google's Answer, Defenses, and Counterclaims to Match Group's ("Match") Complaint ("Motion to Seal"). The excerpts at issue in this Motion to Seal are sourced from documents that are designated as "NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY" pursuant to the Protective Order entered by the Court, ECF No. 248. A redacted version of Google's Answer, Defenses, and Counterclaims to Match's Complaint ("Answer and Counterclaims") has been filed in accordance with the Local Rules.

Subsection (f) of Civil Local Rule 79-5 sets forth procedures that apply when a party seeks to file information designated as confidential by another party. Under subsection (e), the "motion must identify each document or portions thereof for which sealing is sought" and "serve the motion on the Designating Party the same day the motion is filed." Pursuant to subsection (f)(1), the Designating Party has seven days to "file a statement and/or declaration" to establish

28

**BOCKIUS LLF** 

1

2

3 4

5 6 7

9

8

11

12

10

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

why such designated material should be kept under seal pursuant to subsection (c)(1) of Civil Local Rule 79-5.

Civil Local Rule 79-5 provides that documents, or portions thereof, may be sealed if a party establishes that the documents are privileged, protectable as a trade secret, or otherwise entitled to protection under the law. A "strong presumption" of access to judicial records applies to dispositive pleadings, and a party seeking to seal those records must show "compelling reasons." Primus Grp., Inc. v. Inst. for Env't Health, Inc., 395 F. Supp. 3d 1243, 1267 (N.D. Cal. 2019) (citations omitted). Compelling reasons justifying the sealing of court records generally exist "when such court files might have become a vehicle for improper purposes, such as the use of records to gratify private spite, promote public scandal, circulate libelous statements, or release trade secrets." Kamakana v. City & Cty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks omitted). However, "[t]he mere fact that the production of records may lead to a litigant's embarrassment, incrimination, or exposure to further litigation will not, without more, compel the court to seal its records." Id.

Google identifies the following portions of its Answer and Counterclaims to the Match Complaint as containing information designated as confidential by another party:

Portion Containing Designated Information	Designating Party
Introduction to Answer	Match
Counterclaim ¶ 31	Match
Counterclaim ¶ 33	Match
Counterclaim ¶ 34	Match
Counterclaim ¶ 38	Match

Google takes no position on whether the materials identified in this table are privileged, protectable as a trade secret, or otherwise entitled to protection under the law.

1	1 Respectfully submitted,	
2	2	
3	3 Dated: July 11, 2022 By: /s/ Brian Rocca	
4	Brian C. Rocca	
5	Brian C. Rocca, S.B #221576	
	Suial I Shah S R #215230	
6	sujal.shah@morganlewis.com	
7	7 Michelle Park Chiu, S.B #248422 michelle.chiu@morganlewis.com	
8	8 Minna Lo Naranjo, S.B #259005	
9	minna.naranjo@morganlewis.com Rishi P. Satia, S.B #301958	n
10	rishi.satia@morganlewis.com	
11	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKI One Market, Spear Street Tower	JS LLP
12	San Francisco, CA 94105	
	Facsimile: (415) 422-1001	
13	13	
14	Richard S. Taffet, <i>pro hac vice</i> richard.taffet@morganlewis.com	
15		US LLP
16	101 Park Avenue New York, NY 10178	
17	Telephone: (212) 309-6000	
18	Facsimile: (212) 309-6001	
19	Ian Simmons	
20		
21	Ian Simmons, <i>pro hac vice</i> isimmons@omm.com	
22		925
23	bbradshaw@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	
24	1625 Eye Street, NW	
25	Washington, DC 20000 Telephone: (202) 383-5300	
	Facsimile: (202) 383-5414	
26		
27	Daniel M. Petrocelli, S.B. #97802 dpetrocelli@omm.com	
28	28	
S& ,	DEFS' MOT. TO CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD F	E SEALED

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

1	Stephen J. McIntyre, S.B. #274481
2	smcintyre@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
3	1999 Avenue of the Stars
4	Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 553-6700
	Facsimile: (310) 246-6779
5	By: /s/ Glenn Pomerantz
6	Glenn D. Pomerantz
7	Glenn D. Pomerantz, Bar No. 112503
8	glenn.pomerantz@mto.com Kuruvilla Olasa, Bar No. 281509
9	kuruvilla.olasa@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
10	350 South Grand Avenue, 50th Floor
11	Los Angeles, CA 90071-3426 Telephone: (213) 683-9100
12	Kyle W. Mach, Bar No. 282090
13	kyle.mach@mto.com  Justin P. Raphael, Bar No. 292380
	justin.raphael@mto.com
14	Emily C. Curran-Huberty, Bar No. 293065 emily.curran-huberty@mto.com
15	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 560 Mission Street, 27th Floor
16	San Francisco, CA 94105-2907 Telephone: (415) 512-4000
17	
18	Jonathan I. Kravis, <i>pro hac vice</i> Jonathan.kravis@mto.com
19	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 601 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 500E
20	Washington, DC 20001 Telephone: (202) 220-1130
	Telephone. (202) 220-1130
21	
22	Attorneys for Defendants Google LLC, et al.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
l l	

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

## **ECF ATTESTATION** I hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories hereto. Dated: July 11, 2022 /s/ Brian Rocca By: Brian C. Rocca